

EXHIBIT P

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HASSAN A. TUCKER,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff	:	No. 1:22-CV-0631
	:	
v.	:	Judge Conner
	:	
JOHN E WETZEL, GEORGE	:	Electronically Filed Document
LITTLE, CORRECTIONAL <i>and</i>	:	
OFFICER KIMBERLEY,	:	<i>Complaint Filed 04/29/22</i>
	:	
Defendants	:	

DECLARATION OF KERI MOORE

1. I, Keri Moore, am an employee of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Corrections (“DOC”) at the Secretary’s Office of Inmate Grievance Appeals (“SOIGA”).
2. I am an Assistant Chief at SOIGA.
3. As an Assistant Chief, it is within my position to have access to inmate records regarding grievances.
4. I have reviewed the relevant records concerning Inmate Tucker’s grievances and appeals pursuant to DC-ADM 804.
5. I have reviewed the SOIGA files of the grievances filed and appealed by Inmate Hassan Tucker.
6. From August 1, 2019 and July 2021, Inmate Tucker appealed five grievances to Final Review.

7. The grievances appealed to Final Review were grievances 896290, 909172, 923966, 927915, and 936438. True and correct copies of these grievance files are attached as **Attachments A, B, C, D, and E**, respectively.

I, Keri Moore, hereby verify under penalty of perjury in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing Declaration is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

02/16/24

Date



Keri Moore

Assistant Chief

Department of Corrections

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievance Appeals

ATTACHMENT A



02/04/2021 01:26

Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
SCI Filed:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	896290		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that during a general search on 10/14/2020, two COs wrongfully took property from your cell. You say that they wouldn't say their names or reveal what the confiscated items were with the exception of 13 institutional-friendly catalog pages. You contend that commissary items were also taken. You request to have your property returned to you or to be reimbursed.

A review of the record found that your claims were investigated by the Grievance Officer. It was determined that you were not issued a CIR for any of the items you claim to have been taken. Additionally, no such items are documented in the search roster. You fail to provide any evidence to substantiate your claims. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	<i>D. Varner for</i>
Name:	D. Varner
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	02/04/21

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 896290

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR Niki Paul	FACILITY: Rockview	DATE: 10-19-20
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) Hassan Tucker GP6788	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Mr. Hassan Tucker	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: None	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: G-C 10	Secretary's Office Inmate Grievances & Appeals

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

JAN 20 2021

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

On 10-14-20 during a "general" cell search 2 c/o's took property from my cell wrongfully. The c/o's wouldn't say their names or reveal the confiscated items besides 13 institutional friendly catalogue pages. Commissary (authorized items) were taken as well that I have receipts for, which included (50 single dental flosses and 20 mayonaises). I seek to have my property returned or payed for. Each catalogue page (approved by Security processing costs a dollar (1.00)) and each floss is .10 while each mayo packet is .08 (eight cents). LT Lytle errantly cosigned the confiscation. ^{full} trash bag was confiscated.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

I requested a confiscation slip (DC-154A) for all items taken but only SPC approved "catalogue pages" were processed and documented. Requests made to Lt. Lytle, Rutherford, and unidentified c/o's (CC I's) to search.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy



Initial Review Response

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

12/01/2020 12:55

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Rockview	Unit Location:	C / B
Grievance #:	896290		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows:

Decision: Grievance Denied

It is the decision of this Grievance Officer to uphold, deny, or uphold in part/deny in part the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, a summary of the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance, and the relief sought.

Response:

In your grievance you allege that items were confiscated during a general cell search. You are asking for the items to be returned or paid for.

I reviewed the search roster from 10/14/2020 you were not issued a confiscated items receipt for any of the items in question. Had you it would have been documented on the search roster. You provide no evidence that anything was taken from your cell. Your grievance is denied, deemed frivolous, and no relief will be awarded.

☒ **Frivolous:**

Inmate cannot supply a confiscated items receipt for the items in question.

Signature:	
Name:	J. Sherman
Title:	DCM
Approver:	N. Paul
Date:	12/2/2020

CC: Facility Grievance Coordinator
DC-15

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-D

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 896290

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page 1 of 1

DEC 11 2020

SCI
APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Confiscation slip (DC-154A) # C 437273 was submitted as exhibit A with grievance # 896290. However, all confiscated items taken from my single occupant cell (via clear trash bag) on C ranges camera weren't reported. Grievance officer of Sherman's lack of diligence and utter remissness has led to prevarication regarding "actions taken", items "actually" confiscated, or compelling video and documents which substantiate the "brief and clear statement" which said relief is predicated upon. My property has been errantly and unlawfully taken. 13 pages of purportedly pornography "pictures" doesn't fill a large clear trash bag. I seek to have my property returned. * Note: Place of incident is GA-10 cell

INMATE SIGNATURE: Wesley Tucker



Facility Manager's Appeal Response

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

01/06/2021 02:59

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Rockview	Unit Location:	G / B
Grievance #:	896290		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

I have reviewed the initial grievance, the grievance officer response, and the subsequent appeal of your issues relating to your concerns with Property.

Lt Sherman adequately responded to your grievance. There is no evidence to support your allegations that items additionally to those items listed on the CIR were confiscated. If items were confiscated during the cell search, those items would have been listed on the CIR and the reason for confiscation.

Your grievance appeal is denied and any compensation requested is denied.

☒ Frivolous:

Inmate provided no evidence to support allegations.

Signature:	
Name	M. Garman
Title:	Facility Manager
Date:	1-7-21

CC: DC-15
File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-B

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 896290

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1

Inmate Appeal to Final Review

Grievance

INMATE NUMBER GP6788	NAME HASSAN TUCKER	FACILITY SCI RockView	DATE 1-11-21	GRIEVANCE# 896290
-------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	-----------------	----------------------

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on _____ and have the following appeal issues

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

There are two cameras with footage to show the officers enter cell 21-C-10 with an empty (large) clear trash bag and egress with the bag filled. There was clearly more in the trash bag than 13 paper pages. Lt. Sherman never reviewed the cameras or interviewed the officers because he has no interest in the truth of this matter. Therefore, he's remiss and the purported investigation was inadequate. Those officers are on camera leaving my cell with a loaded bag. Form DC 154 A #C 437273 is clearly an indolent attempt to rationalize for "taking" additional apparently unreported property from me, on 10-14-20, approx 8:00A.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Hassan Tucker

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2- Appeals

Issued: 12/1/2010

Effective: 12/8/2010

Attachment 2-E

DC-154A Revised 7/2009

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

No. C 437273

Confiscated Items Receipt (Inmate)

DC-NUMBER <i>GP6788</i>	Inmate Name <i>Tucker</i>	Cell <i>C-10-1</i>	Facility <i>SCF</i>	Date <i>1/17/24</i>	Time <i>0740</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Random Search <input type="checkbox"/> General Search <input type="checkbox"/> Investigative search		Misconduct Report Prepared <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Comments:	
Item(s) Confiscated		Disposition		Item(s) Confiscated	Disposition
1. <i>...</i>		<i>...</i>		2.	
3.				4.	
5.				6.	
7.				8.	
9.				10.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) and Paper Terrorism Materials UCC and related material will be confiscated and will not be subject to a disposition other than return to the inmate, destruction or forwarding to the Chief of Security or Chief Counsel's Office. Upon confiscation, the inmate has 15 days to file a grievance, in accordance with DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance Procedure." <i>COT 1/17/24</i>					
Signature and Title of Staff Member Confiscating the Item(s)					
Signature and Title of Staff Member Disposing the Item(s)					

White - DC-15

Yellow - Deputy Superintendent for Facilities Management

Pink - Inmate

Exhibit A (For grievance)
 Dated 10-19-20
 Prisoner Tucker at Rockview
 Holding Unit GC-10

ATTACHMENT B



04/16/2021 12:46

Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
SCI Filed:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Phoenix
Grievance #:	909172		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that on 12/30/2020, at 6:15 p.m., and on 1/11/2021, at 5:15 p.m., you were scheduled for virtual visit, but were late for each because of CO1 Lentz being late to escort you or failing to notify staff. You say that his actions have caused you pain, suffering, anxiety, emotional distress,, and embarrassment. You request \$1,000,000.00.

A review of the record found that on 12/30/2020, you were late because you refused to comply with a routine search. On 1/11/2021, a connection between your virtual visitor and the institution was not made. There is no evidence provided that staff are responsible for late or uncompleted virtual visitations. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore for</i>
Name:	D. Varner
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	4/16/21

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 DC-15/Superintendent - Phoenix
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 909172

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1

State Correctional Institution
DC-804 RECEIVED
Part 1 JAN 14 2021

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Resubmit
FOR OFFICIAL USE
909172
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

4/30
ROC

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR
NIKI PAUL

FACILITY:
Rockview

DATE:
1-14-21

FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) Gp6788
MR. HASSAN TUCKER

SIGNATURE OF INMATE:
Mr. Hassan Tucker

WORK ASSIGNMENT: NONE

HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: G B 14

Secretary's Office
Inmate Grievances & Appeals

MAR 19 2021 3:15

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.
On ~~December 30, 2020~~ December 30, 2020 at 1915hrs and January 11, 2021 at 1815hrs I was scheduled for virtual visits. Each visit, I was escorted to the virtual monitor by C/O I^s Lentz and Haverly; under the supervision of CO II Edwards and CO III R. Frank. On 12/30/20 I was 20 minutes later for my visit because Lentz showed up for inmate escort 10 minutes late (1925hrs) and spent an additional 10 minutes for strip search (exaggerated) and escort. On 1/11/21 at 1815hrs C/O I^s Lentz did not relay the message to Sgt Edwards and CO II Edwards didn't log in or call to log in for my visit. Kianna Lundy Allen (scheduled both visits) was attempting to connect but from 1815-1900hrs but was told that there was no one else logged in. The belligerent demeanor, indolence, and remissness of CO I^s Lentz and his contemporaries has caused undue pain, suffering, anxiety, emotional distress, and embarrassment, by coercing me to strip search for no reason and sabotaging my visits. So I am seeking \$250,000.00 from CO I^s LENTZ CO I^s Haverly, and CO II EDWARDS and CO III Frank. (a total of \$1,000,000.00)

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.
I've written 135A requests to unit management to report the unprofessional conduct and I've written to the visiting room to confirm the party responsible for the issues. (Knapp um, Lytle Lt., Frank Lt., Mr. Haldeeman maj.)

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Visit

1/14/2021
Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

Lt Monaskey
2/8



Initial Level Extension

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

02/10/2021 10:54

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Rockview	Unit Location:	G / B
Grievance #:	909172		

In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System policy, this notification provides notice that staff requires an extension for responding to your grievance.

Action:

Notice of Staff Extension – This serves as written notification that an extension is necessary in order to appropriately investigate and respond to your grievance (or appeal). Staff has been authorized to extend the response time by 10 additional working days.

Comments:

Signature:

Name:

J. Paul

Title:

Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date:

2/10/2021

cc: Facility Grievance Coordinator
DC-15

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-E

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #:909172

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1



Initial Review Response

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

02/26/2021 09:26

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Rockview	Unit Location:	G / B
Grievance #:	909172		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows:

Decision: Grievance Denied

It is the decision of this Grievance Officer to uphold, deny, or uphold in part/deny in part the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, a summary of the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance, and the relief sought.

Response:

In grievance #909172 inmate Tucker claims that due to an exaggerated strip searches by COI Lentz and COI S Heverly, he was late getting to his visits on 12-30-2020 and 01-11-2021 and did not receive his visits. He also claims that on 01-11-2021, COI Lentz did not inform COII Edwards to call the visiting room for the log in and did not receive this visit. This was all to be supervised by COIII R Frank. For relief inmate Tucker requests to receive \$250,000.00 from COI Lentz, COI S Heverly, COII Edwards, and COIII Frank for a total of \$1,000,000.00.

Lt Monoskey investigated grievance #909172 and has established the following. COI Lentz and COI Heverly were interviewed and stated that on 12-30-20 inmate Tucker GP6788 refused to comply with orders for a routine search in order to be removed from his cell and be escorted to the visiting area for his visit and was only late by a few minutes. Lt Monoskey verified this with the visiting room Officer COI L. Woods, COI Woods stated that the visit was connected and completed on 12-30-20. On 01-11-21 COI Lentz and COI S Heverly attempted to perform a routine search, Inmate Tucker again refused to comply with the routine search causing him to be a few minutes late. COII Edwards was not on duty on this day making inmate Tucker's statement in his grievance false. Lt Monoskey again spoke to visiting room officer COI L Woods who stated on 01-11-21 that the visiting area was contacted by the RHU for the connection that was never made by the outside party. COI Lents and COI Heverly stated that inmate Tucker was permitted to wait an additional 45 minutes for this connection to be made by the outside party. COIII Frank stated the at no point on either visit was he directly involved or supervised the escort of inmate Tucker. Lt Monoskey cannot substantiate any of inmate Tucker's GP6788 claims made.

This grievance and request for monetary damages is frivolous and is Denied

☒ **Frivolous:**

Due to inmate Tucker's false claims and accusations towards staff who were not present for the escort, or even on duty this grievance is frivolous.

Signature:

Name:

Title:

Approver:

Date:

Monoskey

COIII

N. Paul

2-26-21

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-D

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 909172

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page 1 of 2



Initial Review Response

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

02/26/2021 09:26

CC: Facility Grievance Coordinator
DC-15

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-D

GP6788 Grievance #:909172

TUCKER, HASSAN

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

Page2 of 2

State Correctional Institution

RECEIVED

MAR 05 2021

at Rockview
Supt's Assistant's OfficeSCI
APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
GP6788	HASSAN TUCKER	G-B 14	3-2-21	909172

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 3-2-21 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

C/O III Monoskey is prevaricating and grievance #909172 is not frivolous. Video from G-B tier evinces the officers (Lentz + Heverly) arriving at 1925 hrs for a 1915 hours virtual visit (late!). If inmates don't comply with strip search orders they will not be allowed out of the cell. I'm on camera being escorted on 12-30-20 and 11-11-21 at 1927 (approx) and then 1815 hrs which evinces my compliance for searches required. C/O III Monoskey purported was informed of the connection of both visits at issue by C/O I L. Woods. Well C/O I Woods never indicated the time in which I was brought to the monitor for attendance on 12-30-20 and computer records invariably say that I "denied" my visit. C/O III Monoskey has concocted a mendacious mixture of misinformation for a speedy recovery to a late response to grievance number 909172 and poured it into a holey container. Electronic records show Kiara Lundy Allen logging in on both dates and institutional video substantiates my willingness to comply and accept my visit. Grievance #916474 substantiates claims of ongoing issues of Rhu staff's malfeasance concerning virtual visitation. The grievance #909172 has merit and the relief sought remains, C/O I are under command of C/O II and C/O III, to assure duties are honored. Therefore the appropriate C/O II and C/O III for the date of 2nd-10th shift visits at issue are complicit by dereliction of duty.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Mr. F. Tucker



Facility Manager's Appeal Response

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

B 13

03/10/2021 10:42

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Rockview	Unit Location:	G / B
Grievance #:	909172		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

I have reviewed the initial grievance, the grievance officer response, and the subsequent appeal of your issues relating to your concerns with Visit.

Lt Monoskey adequately responded to your grievance. A review of the grievance appeal shows no evidence to contradict the information provided by the grievance officer or the staff members interviewed during the investigation of this grievance. It is your responsibility to ensure compliance orders given to ensure you are brought out of your cell in a timely manner. Issues within this appeal regarding grievance 916474 will not be addressed. This grievance was submitted after this initial grievance.

I concur with the frivolous determination of this grievance and no reasoning was given to overturn the frivolous determination. Allegations and/or the relief sought lack any arguable basis in law, fact and/or policy.

Your grievance appeal is denied and any compensation requested is denied.

☒ Frivolous:

Allegations and/or the relief sought lack any arguable basis in law, fact and/or policy.

Signature:	
Name	M. Garman
Title:	Facility Manager
Date:	3-10-21

CC: DC-15
File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-B

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 909172

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1

SCI
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
Gp6788	HASSAN TUCKER	GB 13	3-12-21	909172

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 3-10-21 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Facility Manager Harman, M didn't adequately review these issues. Grievance #909172 is not frivolous. The cameras referred to at specific times and dates as well as the outcome of events, serves to evince all claims of the officers negligence regarding visits on the date and time at issue. Furthermore, Monoskey C/O III blatantly lies by claiming the virtual visit on 1-11-21 was "never made by the outside party" (Kiana Lundy-Allen), while Polycom Real Presence Mobile App has a falsely reported "refusal" to attend by Tucker #Gp6788. I was strip searched and taken to a visit that officers never intended to be activated (on GRHU B-14 cell cameras). My burden of proof is thoroughly satisfied and I seek the relief sought in grievance #909172.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Hassan Tucker

ATTACHMENT C



08/23/2021 07:19

Final Appeal Decision Dismissal

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
SCI Filed:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Phoenix
Grievance #:	923966		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Decision: Dismiss

Your grievance is being dismissed at the final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.

Rationale:

- You have not provided this Office with required and/or legible documentation for proper review.

Response:

You have not provided the required documentation for a proper appeal to final review. Specifically, you have not provided a legible copy of your initial grievance. Therefore, your appeal to this office is dismissed.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore for</i>
Name:	D. Varner
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	08/23/21

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 DC-15/Superintendent - Phoenix
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-G

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 923966

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page 1 of 1

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

Secretary's Office
Inmate Grievances & Appeals

JUL 19 2021 7112

Attachment 1-A

packing, processing, or inventory of my property on 3-29-21. The only items I was approved to have from DC 153M #A295205 since 8-6-19 are my television and tablet through PRC, so DC 153M #A300634 should have had all of the same items as well as my cell content, such as legal discovery, transcript, books, clothing, and cosmetics among other important items, as DC 153M #A295205. Upon processing at Sci Phoenix C/O II Gordon was shown the apparent discrepancies and did a DC 153M #A066967 to inventory the property that did arrive at Sci Phoenix. Several property items from DC 153M #A295205 are missing from DC 153M #A300634 and items from #A300634 are not on #A066967 which is Sci Phoenix's initial DC 153M inventory. Sci Rockview improperly processed my property and denied my right to be present for inventory and packing in accordance with DC-Adm 815. I was never offered an opportunity to be present for the inventory/packing for shipping of my property from Sci Rockview for special RRL transport to Sci Phoenix. Several transfers occurred on the 30th of March 2021 which resulted in this indolent circumvention of DC Adm 815 on the processing of my property on 3-29-21. I seek \$10,000 dollars each from C O II Wagner, C O III Myers, Unit Manager Knapp, and each officer who signed DC 153M #A300634 on 3-29-21.



Initial Review Response

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

L-C-1009-01

05/20/2021 08:09

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Phoenix	Unit Location:	L/C
Grievance #:	923966		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows:

Decision: Grievance Denied

It is the decision of this Grievance Officer to uphold, deny, or uphold in part/deny in part the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, a summary of the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance, and the relief sought.

Response:

In pursuant to DC ADM 804, I have reviewed your grievance and all information you have provided..

you state on 3/29/21 at 8am, COII Wagner to you to pack your property for transfer to SCI Phoenix. You placed all your property from inside your cell content, into 2 red commissary totes. COII Wagner then combined your cell content, with your property that was inventoried, and put it in holding on 8/6/19. After combining the cell content COII Wagner and his officers inventoried your property "in your absence" in violation of DC ADM 815. Upon completion of the final inventory COIII Myers brought you a 153 to sign. You did not recognize it because you state you were not present. You state SCI Rockview improperly processed your property, and denied your right to be present. You seek 10,000.00 each from COIII Myers, COII Wagner, UM Knapp, and each officer who signed the DC 153 (A300634)

I have interviewed COIII Myers (Bldg. G Lieutenant) he stated "you refused to be present for the inventory of your property. You also refused to sign the DC 153".

I have interviewed COII Wagner he stated "he did not inventory your property nor did his officers".

I have interviewed COI Myers (property officer) he stated "Inmate Tucker refused to be present for his inventory".

DC 153 A 300634 dated 3/29/21 that I retrieved from the property room at SCI Rockview shows that DC ADM 815 section 1 C 3. was followed by staff at SCI Rockview.

DC-ADM 815, Personal Property, State Issued Items, and Commissary/Outside Purchases Procedures Manual
Section 1 – Basic and State Issued Items

C. Handling of Property for Transfers

3. If the inmate cannot be physically present when his/her property is being packed and inventoried, or if he/she represents a security threat, two officers shall inventory and process the inmate's property and both officers will sign the DC-153. A notation will be made as to why the inmate was not present. The two officers will be responsible for sealing the boxes with sealing tape and signing his/her name over the tape. If there are multiple boxes, the boxes shall be numbered (i.e. 1 of 2, 2 of 2, etc.) and include the inmate's name and number.

Through my investigation I have determined that your grievance is Frivolous. Your grievance is cluttered going in different directions. I find the Officers creditable. You were afforded the opportunity to inventory your property COIII

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-D

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #:923966

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page 1 of 2



Initial Review Response


SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

05/20/2021 08:09

Myers conveys that clearly " you refused to come out of your cell for the inventory and you refused to sign the DC 153". You stated COII Wagner and his officers inventoried your property. That was not correct, The property office inventoried your property. Also the DC 153 A 300634 shows that the DC ADM 815 section 1 C 3. was followed by the officers. I DENY your grievance in FULL. I DENY any restitution.

☒ Frivolous:

policy was followed.

Signature:	
Name:	D. Frank
Title:	CCB
Approver:	K. Brubaker
Date:	5/20/21

CC: Facility Grievance Coordinator
DC-15

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-D

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #:923966

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page2 of 2

SCI
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
GP6788	HASSAN TUCKER	SCI Phoenix L-C 1009	5-25-21	923966

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 5-25-21 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

On 4/3/21 I grievanced Sci Rockview Rhu staff for violation of DC Adm 815. I submitted various DC-153 forms which apparently evince malfeasance occurring in my involuntary absence, between 8/1/19 and 3/29/21 while I had zero control of my property. I refused to sign DC 153 m[#] A300634 because I was iniquitously denied an opportunity to be present during the purported inventory of property being packed and shipped to Sci Phoenix for special transfer. This grievance is meritorious and lacks any frivolity. All DC 153 m forms, commissary receipts and usps tracking numbers recorded and collected during ALB housing in Sci Rockview from 8/1/19 - 3/29/21 lucidly detail continuous violations of DC Adm 815. I am missing different property items each time a new DC 153 m form was filled out because staff were taking items in my absence, desecrating property, and losing items by moving it around for extermination, construction etcetera. I've proven to PRC that Rhu staff stole property by producing a tablet charger given to me with filed id numbers, but I was ignored. The exhibits tell the whole story. I could not have any items from my property without PRC approval. DC Adm 815 was violated when staff took and destroyed property, as well as denying me presence during inventory, on 3-29-21. My refusal to sign DC 153 m[#] A300634 was noted, but staff's rationale for denying me the opportunity to be present during the execution of DC 153 m[#] A300634 wasn't recorded because there was no rational reason for denial, except staff's indolence. I never refused to be present for the inventory and packing and Sgt. Wagner is on camera retrieving my property from B13 cell at approx 0800 hrs on 3-29-21.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Mr. Hassan Tucker



Facility Manager's Appeal Response

SCI Rockview
1 Rockview Place
Bellefonte, PA, 16823-1664

06/11/2021 02:16

(7/4/21 [2:40 PM])

L-C-1009-01

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Phoenix	Unit Location:	L/C /
Grievance #:	923966		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the Inmate, dismiss, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

I have reviewed the initial grievance, the grievance officer response, and the subsequent appeal of your issues relating to your concerns with Property.

Lt. Frank adequately responded to your grievance. Within your grievance appeal, you provide no evidence or information to contradict the information provided by the grievance officer or the officers interviewed during the investigation of this grievance. Your refusal to inventory your own property before transfer resulted in this situation. I find no evidence to support your allegation regarding this grievance matter.

Your grievance appeal is denied and any compensation requested is denied.

☒ Frivolous:

Inmate refused to inventory his property prior to transfer.

Signature:

Name

B. Salamon

Title:

Facility Manager

Date:

6/11/21

CC: DC-15
File

Signed by
Staff

Received and handed
out on 7/4/21 SS
Shorter

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-B

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 923966

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page 1 of 1

**INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW
GRIEVANCE**

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
G66788	HASSAN TUCKER	SCI ROCKview	7-4-21	923966

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 7-4-21 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.
Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

The issues I have raised in grievance #923966 are absolutely meritorious. And involve no frivolity. C/O III DJ Frank was not present on the 2 block Rku on 3-29-21. C/O II Wagner and C/O III Myers were supervising under unit manager R Krupp on 6-2 shift on 3-29-21. C/O II Wagner is on camera collecting inmate (from G block, B range, cell #13 at approximately 8am on 3-29-21) property for special transport of Restricted Release List transfers to Sci Phoenix. Several RRI transfers took place on March 29, 2021, giving 2 Block staff one day to inventory the property. Rather than properly inventory all of the property for the mass special transfer to Sci Phoenix, Sci Rockview's 2 Block 6-2 shift staff denied the right to be present for inventory so they could curtail the process. It is evident through my due diligence regarding this matter that I wanted to be present for the inventory of my property. There in initial response by DJ Frank C/O III was citation of DC adm 815 which 2 block staff violated by denying me the opportunity to be present for inventory. Nothing was preventing me from being present, I posed no threat and no notation was made on the DC 153 m # A300634, indicating a purported refusing to come.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Hassan Tucker

Part 2 of 2 pages

Inmate Appeal TO FINAL
Review Grievance

I received my appeal from Superintendent B. Salamon on 7-4-21, and I have the following issues

... out of my cell (B-13). When I submitted grievance #923966 I included several DC 153 m forms, commissary receipts, and usps tracking info to substantiate my claims. There is nothing erratic or unsubstantial about my claims. I submitted all relevant DC 153 m forms, receipts, and tracking for appeal to Facility Manager of Sci Rockview. The facts remain the same. Sci Rockview staff improperly processed my property in my absence without justification, which resulted in loss and damages which are corroborated by Sci Phoenix initial DC 153 m forms #A066967 and #A300634 (signed with noted discrepancies by inventorying CO II Gordon on April 2, 2021). There have been consistent ploys to sabotage my grievance process amidst apparent malfeasance by Sci Rockview; Facility Manager's Response to appeal of grievance #923966 was not received by me (as noted by staff) until 7-4-21, OVER 3 weeks beyond the date of transmittal. The DC 153 m forms attached to this grievance from 804 Part 1- Final Review appeal (dated 8-6-19 to 4-2-21) make the discrepancies apparent. I've had zero control of my property since 8-1-19. Any items received from my property must be PRC approved and the only approval granted was my television and tablet. There's no justification for the damages and losses of property exclusively controlled by Rockview's Rhu/SL5 staff from 8-1-19 to ~~03~~ 3-31-21. My relief sought is consistent with grievance #923966.

Form DC-135A		RECEIVED		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		JUN 14 2021		INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.	
INMATE ACCOUNTS					
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer) <u>Inmate accounting</u>		2. Date: <u>6-9-21</u>			
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) <u>Hassan TUCKER GP6788</u>		4. Counselor's Name: <u>Smith</u>			
<u>[Signature]</u> Inmate Signature		5. Unit Manager's Name: <u>Greenevich</u>			
6. Work Assignment: <u>NO</u>		7. Housing Assignment: <u>LC 1009</u>			
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.					
<p><u>I need a copy of all of my cash slips (DC 138A) from June 2021?</u></p> <p><u>I send 2 DC 138A so that my receipts will be returned when I send mail outgoing (per DC 138(A))</u></p> <p><u>I'm not receiving my receipts. Especially for a grievance appeal sent to SCI Dallas Super Int Salamon in June of 2021</u></p>					
9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)					
<p><u>Search and Retrieval fee \$15.00 requires a cash slip</u></p> <p><u>Copy fee \$0.10/page requires separate cash slip</u></p>					
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>			To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>		

STAFF MEMBER NAME

R Koehler
Print

RK
Signature
DATE 6/14/21

Pennsylvania Correctional Industries
Offender Receipt - Commissary

Date Report Generated: 03/19/2021 12:26:36 000014290317

Inst.: ROC Case ID: 6P6788 Name: TUCKER, HASSAN
Order: 000014290317 Date: 03/18/2021 Housing Unit: G-B-1-013 Batch: 000

No.	Item #	Description	Qty	Item Price	Item Total
1	00004	RAMEN NOODLES CHILI FLVR 30Z	10	0.20	2.00
2	00007	RAMEN NOODLES HOT/SPICY VEG FLVR 30Z	10	0.20	2.00
3	04000	GRANULATED SUGAR 120Z ***K,H	1	1.00	1.00
4	05604	ICED CINNAMON ROLL 40Z ***K	5	0.62	3.10
5	14421	STRAWBERRY SHORTBREAD COOKIES 80Z ***	1	1.49	1.49
6	13401	CHEESE CRACKERS 90Z ***K	1	1.75	1.75
7	09207	MRKEL FLTS IN BRINE 3.530Z ***K,H,H/A	5	0.78	3.90
8	02614	100% COLUMBIAN COFFEE 50Z ***K,H	1	2.61	2.61


Total Cost of Issued Items: 19.45 (Commissary - pre-tax)

Out of Stock/Auto Refund: 0.00 (Commissary - pre-tax)

Overall Order Total: 19.45

No. Serial Number(s)

Received by Offenders:
Witnessed By:


c/o M. Young

Date:
Date:

4-5-20
4-5-21

Pennsylvania Correctional Industries
Offender Receipt - Commissary

Date Report Generated: 03/15/2021 11:29:59 0000014264099

Inst.: ROC Case ID: 6P6788 Name: TUCKER, HASSAN
Order: 0000014264099 Date: 03/12/2021 Housing Unit: G-B-1-013 Batch: 070

No.	Item #	Description	Qty	Item Price	Item Total (*Taxed item)
1	34530	HAND AND BODY SKIN CARE LOTION 4OZ	1	0.56	0.56 *
2	42381	SECURITY PEN BLACK	2	0.26	0.52 *
3	10603	PROVOLONE CHEESE STICK 4OZ ****GF	1	1.19	1.19
4	13409	SNACK CRACKERS 13.7OZ ****K	1	1.70	1.70
5	09200	CHUNK LIGHT TUNA 4.23OZ ****K,H	5	1.21	6.05
6	34972	SECURITY ORANGE NO SHANK RAZOR	1	0.16	0.16 *
7	00007	RAMEN NOODLES HOT/SPICY VEG FLVR 3OZ	10	0.28	2.80

Total Cost of Issued Items: 12.98 (Commissary - pre-tax)

Out of Stock/Auto Refund: 0.00 (Commissary - pre-tax)

Overall Order Subtotal: 12.98

Tax: 0.00 (6PCTPA: 0.00)

Overall Order Total: 13.06

No. Serial Number(s)

Received by Offender:

Witnessed By:

Date:

Date:

3-23-21
3/23/24

**Pennsylvania Correctional Industries
Offender Receipt - Commissary**

Date Report Generated: 03/06/2021 11:36:41 @000014235624

Inst.: RLC Case ID: 646788 Name: TUNKER, HENRY
Order: @000014235624 Date: 03/05/2021 Housing Unit: 4-3-1-013 Batch: 077

No. Item #	Description	Qty	Item Price	Item Total (if-taxed item)
1	94936 MEDIO-LINKUNITS (500 LinkUnits)	5	5.00	25.00
2	32513 FLOSS, MINT, INDIV 20" 5PK	3	0.50	1.50
3	30006 SENSODYNE WHITENING TOOTH PASTE 4.00Z	6	1.36	8.16
4	34530 HAND AND BODY SKIN CARE LOTION 40Z	1	0.56	0.56 *
5	25908 IRISH SPRING SOAP 3.70Z	2	0.85	1.70 *
6	25909 HYPOALLERGENIC OILY SOAP 40Z	1	2.03	2.03 *
7	17847 BUTLER INGER RILES 20Z ***	2	1.30	2.60
8	15000 ORRIS PLAIN HOT MACHO 7.50Z ***	2	2.40	4.80
9	17119 TONYUNG 1.250Z ***	8	0.65	5.20
10	17101 THE WHOLE SHERRING CHIPS 80Z ****,H	1	1.13	1.13
11	17887 MILKY WAY 1.840Z ****	3	0.85	2.55
12	17824 TWIX 1.730Z ****	3	0.77	2.31
13	02614 100% COLUMBIAN COFFEE 10Z ****,H	1	2.61	2.61
14	@00000 GRANULATED SUGAR 120Z ****,H	1	1.00	1.00
15	@00007 RAMEN NOODLES HIG/SPICY VEG FLVR 20Z	10	0.28	2.80
17	11412 GRAPE JELLY 120Z	2	1.46	2.92
18	@70004 CREAMY PEANUT BUTTER MCKEY 20Z	10	0.27	2.70

Total Cost of Issued Items: 69.62 (Commissary - pre-tax)
 Out of Stock/Auto Refund: 0.00 (Commissary - pre-tax)
 Overall Order Subtotal: 69.62
 Tax: 0.26 (6PCPA: 0.36)
 Overall Order Total: 69.88

No. Serial Number(s)

Item #	Description	Qty.	Reason
05627	CARAMEL CHOC CHUNK MC TRIN 1.480Z ***	0	0 FULL REJECT-INVALID LINE ITEM QUANTITY

Received by Offenders:
 Witnessed By:

Date:
 Date:

3-16-2

3/16/21

Two grievances for Niki Paul at Sci Rockview
given to COI at 8:20P 4-11-21

 COI Betton

~~Two~~ One grievance for Niki Paul at Sci
Rockview given to COI Kalb at
1641 hours 4-12-21 wit 2 cash slips

(DC 138A)

 COI R. Kalb



Integrated Offender Case Management System

7/1/2021 7:16:38 AM

Monthly Account Statement

From Date: 06/01/2021

To Date: 07/01/2021

Housing	Case ID	Offender Name	Location	
L-C-1009-01	GP6788	TUCKER,HASSAN	PHOENIX	
Batch#	Txn Date	Txn Description	Txn Amount(\$)	Balance After Transaction(\$)
PHX-011845	06/04/2021	37 - Postage (05/28/21 FACILITY MGR SCI ROCKVIEW)	-0.36	4874.86
PHX-011875	06/07/2021	36 - Copies (XEROX COPY(S) 5-4-21 - 6-6-21)	-0.20	4874.66
PHX-011881	06/08/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY FOR 06/08/2021)	-93.43	4781.23
PHX-011889	06/08/2021	37 - Postage (06/07/21 D TUCKER)	-0.51	4780.72
PHX-011929	06/11/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY CR FOR 06/11/2021)	+1.20	4781.92
PHX-011938	06/11/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY FOR 06/11/2021)	-93.43	4688.49
PHX-011929	06/11/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY CR FOR 06/11/2021)	+40.43	4728.92
PHX-011971	06/15/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY FOR 06/15/2021)	-11.89	4717.03
PHX-011979	06/16/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY CR FOR 06/16/2021)	+4.40	4721.43
PHX-012057	06/23/2021	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 4 - 21st -20th)	+13.68	4735.11
PHX-012129	06/29/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY FOR 06/29/2021)	-38.42	4696.69
Current, Escrow, & Available Balances are as of 7/1/2021 7:16:38 AM				
Current Balance		4696.69		
Escrow Balance		0.00		
Available Balance		4696.69		



Integrated Offender Case Management System

5/3/2021 7:30:23 AM

Monthly Account Statement

From Date: 04/01/2021

To Date: 05/01/2021

Housing	Case ID	Offender Name	Location	
L-C-1009-01	GP6788	TUCKER,HASSAN	PHOENIX	
Batch#	Txn Date	Txn Description	Txn Amount(\$)	Balance After Transaction(\$)
	04/05/2021	Transfer Out (PHOENIX)		4979.50
	04/05/2021	Transfer In (Rockview)		4979.50
ROC-043400	04/05/2021	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+11.00	4990.50
ROC-043430	04/07/2021	27 - Religious Feasts (EID AL-FITR FEAST)	-5.66	4984.84
ROC-043488	04/13/2021	27 - Religious Feasts (NOT AT ROCKVIEW)	+5.66	4990.50
	04/13/2021	37 - Postage (Release Escrow)	+16.04	5006.54
ROC-043489	04/13/2021	37 - Postage	-16.04	4990.50
	04/13/2021	Transfer Out (Rockview)		4990.50
	04/13/2021	Transfer In (PHOENIX)		4990.50
PHX-011278	04/20/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY FOR 04/20/2021)	-90.35	4900.15
PHX-011305	04/22/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY CR FOR 04/22/2021)	+3.93	4904.08
PHX-011330	04/23/2021	37 - Postage (04/14/21 N PAUL)	-0.91	4903.17
PHX-011338	04/23/2021	36 - Copies (XEROX COPY(S) 3-8-21 - 4-15-21)	-1.10	4902.07
PHX-011349	04/26/2021	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 4 - 21st -20th)	+10.80	4912.87
PHX-011375	04/27/2021	27 - Religious Feasts (EIDUL FITR)	-7.00	4905.87
PHX-011373	04/27/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY FOR 04/27/2021)	-43.84	4862.03
PHX-011423	04/29/2021	37 - Postage (04/26/21 SCI ROCKVIEW)	-0.71	4861.32
PHX-011413	04/29/2021	32 - Commissary (PHX COMMISSARY CR FOR 04/29/2021)	+5.30	4866.62
Current, Escrow, & Available Balances are as of 5/3/2021 7:30:23 AM				
Current Balance		4866.62		
Escrow Balance		0.00		
Available Balance		4866.62		



Integrated Offender Case Management System

4/1/2021 7:31:00 AM

Monthly Account Statement

From Date: 03/01/2021

To Date: 04/01/2021

Housing	Case ID	Offender Name	Location	
L-C-1009-01	GP6788	TUCKER,HASSAN	PHOENIX	
Batch#	Txn Date	Txn Description	Txn Amount(\$)	Balance After Transaction(\$)
ROC-043134	03/03/2021	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+9.50	3702.78
ROC-043143	03/04/2021	37 - Postage (First Class Mail)	-4.85	3697.93
ROC-043158	03/05/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 03/05/2021)	-69.88	3628.05
ROC-043211	03/12/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 03/12/2021)	-13.06	3614.99
ROC-043263	03/18/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 03/18/2021)	-19.45	3595.54
	03/30/2021	37 - Postage (UPS CHARGES 3/30/2021)	-16.04	3579.50
ROC-043358	03/31/2021	13 - Gov Checks/IRS (US TREASURY-ECONOMIC IMPACT PAYMENT)	+1400.00	4979.50
	03/31/2021	Transfer Out (Rockview)		4979.50
	03/31/2021	Transfer In (PHOENIX)		4979.50
Current, Escrow, & Available Balances are as of4/1/2021 7:31:00 AM				
Current Balance		4995.54		
Escrow Balance		16.04		
Available Balance		4979.50		



Integrated Offender Case Management System

3/1/2021 9:28:52 AM

Monthly Account Statement

From Date: 02/01/2021

To Date: 03/01/2021

Housing	Case ID	Offender Name	Location	
G-B-1014-01	GP6788	TUCKER,HASSAN	Rockview	
Batch#	Txn Date	Txn Description	Txn Amount(\$)	Balance After Transaction(\$)
ROC-042915	02/04/2021	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+9.50	3777.28
ROC-042934	02/05/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 02/05/2021)	-73.54	3703.74
ROC-043041	02/19/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 02/19/2021)	-10.46	3693.28
Current, Escrow, & Available Balances are as of 3/1/2021 9:28:52 AM				
Current Balance		3693.28		
Escrow Balance		0.00		
Available Balance		3693.28		



Integrated Offender Case Management System

2/3/2021 11:06:23 AM

Monthly Account Statement

From Date: 01/01/2021

To Date: 02/03/2021

Housing	Case ID	Offender Name	Location	
G-B-1014-01	GP6788	TUCKER,HASSAN	Rockview	
Batch#	Txn Date	Txn Description	Txn Amount(\$)	Balance After Transaction(\$)
ROC-042678	01/07/2021	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+11.00	3231.70
ROC-042696	01/08/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 01/08/2021)	-16.18	3215.52
ROC-042755	01/15/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 01/15/2021)	-14.50	3201.02
ROC-042824	01/22/2021	14 - Gov Checks/VA (UNITED STATES TREASURY)	+600.00	3801.02
ROC-042825	01/22/2021	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 01/22/2021)	-33.24	3767.78
Current, Escrow, & Available Balances are as of 2/3/2021 11:06:23 AM				
Current Balance		3767.78		
Escrow Balance		0.00		
Available Balance		3767.78		

All property is/was present and accounted for. No property was missing and/or damaged. Property exceeding the allowable property limit has been addressed per the applicable policy. Excess property in the form of legal property (active cases only) is in accordance with Department policy 6.3.1 Section 20. The inmate has been informed that allowable property must be contained in the containers permitted by the applicable policy. Inmate's signature above acknowledges accuracy.

WHITE - DC-15 AFTER PROCESSING COMPLETED
CANARY- INMATE COPY AFTER ALL PROCESSING COMPLETED

PINK - SENDING FACILITY COPY OR MAILROOM, IF APPLICABLE
GOLDENROD - INMATE COPY WHEN ITEMS ARE INVENTORIED

PINK - SENDING FACILITY COPY OR MAILROOM, IF APPLICABLE
GOLDENROD - INMATE COPY WHEN ITEMS ARE INVENTORIED

PINK - SENDING FACILITY COPY OR MAILROOM, IF APPLICABLE
GOLDENROD - INMATE COPY WHEN ITEMS ARE INVENTORIED.

All property is/was present and accounted for. No property was missing and/or damaged. Property exceeding the allowable property limit has been addressed per the applicable policy. Excess property in the form of legal property (active cases only) is in accordance with Department policy 6.3.1 Section 20. The inmate has been informed that allowable property must be contained in the containers permitted by the applicable policy. Inmate's signature above acknowledges accuracy.

ATTACHMENT D



08/24/2021 04:19

Final Appeal Decision Dismissal

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
SCI Filed:	Phoenix	Current SCI:	Phoenix
Grievance #:	927915		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Decision: Dismiss

Your grievance is being dismissed at the final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.

Rationale:

- You have not provided this Office with required and/or legible documentation for proper review.

Response:

You have not provided the required documentation for a proper appeal to final review. Specifically, you have not provided a legible copy of your initial grievance, the initial review response, and your appeal to the facility manager. Therefore, your appeal to this office is dismissed.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore for</i>
Name:	D. Varner
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	08/24/21

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Phoenix
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-G

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 927915

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR <i>GORLANDO</i>	FACILITY: <i>Phoenix</i>	DATE: <i>5-17-21</i>
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) <i>Hassan Tucker 696788</i>	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: <i>[Signature]</i>	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <i>NO</i>	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: <i>LC 1009</i>	

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

My unit management team on 1 block is violating my due process rights. My unit manager doesn't respond to DC 135 A request forms, my counselor cc 2 smith does not appear weekly in accordance with DC adm 802. My annual RRT review is not being honored. My time for review in 2021 has overlapped. The RRT review from 2020 and no results have been proposed despite my exemplary behavior. My unit manager (Brennebach) continues to deny me Law Library time and no one is pursuing the overrule decision for my annual RRT review which satisfies due process. I was due to see PRC on 5-5-21 and I am being denied program review as well.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

I've written Wm. Brennebach, supervisor, and lower, I have CC 2 Smith DC 135 A - no response. I've also written. No response. No results.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy



Facility Manager's Appeal Response

SCI Phoenix
1200 Mokychie Drive
Collegeville, PA, 19426

06/30/2021 12:51

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Phoenix	Unit Location:	L / C
Grievance #:	927915		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

I am in receipt of your grievance in which you claim the Unit Management Team is violating your due process rights. You claim the UM does not respond to DC-135A request forms and your Counselor Smith, does not appear weekly, UM Grenevich continues to deny you law library and you were to see PRC on 5/5/2021.

In my investigation, I find that the response by Major Clark is appropriate. Documentation indicates that Counselor Smith met with you on 3/31/2021, 4/8/2021, 4/20/2021, 4/22/2021, 4/29/2021 and 5/20/2021. The Unit Manager Grenevich has confirmed that both he and Counselor Smith have answered all requests received by you.

If you would like to utilize law library, you must put in a request to your Unit Team.

Additional information will not be addressed as it was not included in the initial grievance.

I must therefore, uphold the decision of the grievance officer and deny your appeal and requested relief.

Signature:	
Name	K. Sorber
Title:	Facility Manager
Date:	

CC: DC-15
File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-B

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 927915

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1

**INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW
GRIEVANCE**

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
6P6788	Hassan Tucker	Phoenix	7-16-21	927915

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 7-14-21 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.
Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

In the initial response to grievance number 927915 Major Clark acknowledged a purported RRI staffing to review my RRI status on 5/20/21. My staffing was to be conducted in early April of 2021, not 6 weeks later. I have a right to Due process, to diligently pursue removal from RRI. If my counselors and unit management are remiss, the necessary info will not be timely conveyed through the process for RRI removal, or adequately conveyed as it pertains to progress. I seek a review de novo and to have all parts of DC adm pertinent to RRI Removal honored and resubmitted. The remissness has already cost me an entire year in additional solitary confinement. I seek relief from this disproportionate proscription which resulted from these errors.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Hassan Tucker

ATTACHMENT E



10/12/2021 10:02

Final Appeal Decision Dismissal

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
SCI Filed:	Phoenix	Current SCI:	Phoenix
Grievance #:	936438		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Decision: Dismiss

Your grievance is being dismissed at the final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.

Rationale:

- You have not provided this Office with required and/or legible documentation for proper review.

Response:

You have not provided the required documentation for a proper appeal to final review. Specifically, you have not provided a legible copy of your initial grievance or the second page of your appeal to the Facility Manager. Therefore, your appeal to this office is dismissed.

Signature:	<i>Veri Moore for</i>
Name:	D. Varner
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	10/12/21

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Phoenix
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-G

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 936438

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page1 of 1

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR	FACILITY:	DATE:
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)	SIGNATURE OF INMATE:	
WORK ASSIGNMENT:	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:	

Secretary's Office
Inmate Grievances & Appeals

AUG 31 2021 8/2

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

My Equal Protection Rights Due Process Rights are being violated by the DOJ Secretary John E. Bates resulting in civil and criminal punishment in the form of criminal contempt of court and civil contempt of court history (from January 2015 - August 2016) if not before in contact with various civil and state courts (aka "RKL"). There are less severe punishment programs... it is agreed by the various Secretary Bates approved the release of me... civil contempt of court... I have never known when a normal means of appealing the "RKL" document. There were in L.L.B./restated prison unit since 8-1-19 and since until in isolated custody to protect my safety. I have not been following the process and the note.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Date _____

PINK Action Return Copy



Initial Review Response

SCI Phoenix
1200 Mokychic Drive
Collegeville, PA, 19426

07/23/2021 02:54

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Phoenix	Unit Location:	L/C 1089
Grievance #:	936438		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows:

Decision: Grievance Denied

It is the decision of this Grievance Officer to uphold, deny, or uphold in part/deny in part the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, a summary of the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance, and the relief sought.

Response:

The decision made by the Secretary was in accordance with the DC-ADM 802, Section 4. In addition, the Restricted Release placement process has no provisions for appeals.

This Grievance is denied.

Your request for monetary relief is also denied.

Signature:

Name: B. Panasiewicz

Title:

Approver: G. Orlando *GO*

Date: 7/23/2021

CC: Facility Grievance Coordinator
DC-15

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review, Attachment 1-D

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 936438

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page 1 of 1

DC-804

Pg 1 of 2

Grievance Appeal to Facility Manager

Inmate # ~~936788~~ 6788 HASSAN TUCKER Hassan Tucker

Grievance # 936438 Facility: Sci Phoenix Date: 7-27-21

I received the initial response denying grievance # 936438 from Deputy Super B. Panasiwicz on 7-27-21 and I have the following appeal issues.

Secretary Wetzel's decision was not substantiated by any logical reason for continuing my RRI status. DC-Adm 802 section 4-A.2, B.1-5 merely address the secretary's sole [discretion] to end RRI status, PRC puissance to recommend to Facility Manager to seek release from RRI, and the process for making recommendation for RRI Removal.

Secretary Wetzel didn't conduct a review based upon recent info because the info was delayed by a transfer (mass transfer) during my annual review time. Wetzel delayed my RRI review for over 17 months and Sci Phoenix (1 block unit manager team) delayed my 2021 RRI review for over six weeks (5-20-21). Secretary Wetzel continues to extend my status on RRI which is based off of Cpt Robert Vance's prevarication on a misconduct report purporting Stg



Facility Manager's Appeal Response

SCI Phoenix
1200 Mokychic Drive
Collegeville, PA, 19426

08/17/2021 08:30

Inmate Name:	TUCKER, HASSAN	DOC #:	GP6788
Facility:	Phoenix	Unit Location:	L / C
Grievance #:	936438		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me and any other documents submitted.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

I am in receipt of your grievance appeal in which you claim that your equal protection rights and due process rights are being violated by PA DOC Secretary J. Wetzel, resulting in cruel and unusual punishment in the form of indefinite solitary confinement. You claim your misconduct history is not extensive/consistent with criteria for indefinite solitary (aka RRL). You claim that there are less severe punishment programs offered to other prisoners. You also claim that you have not been able to appeal the RRL placement. You are seeking \$50,000 and immediate removal from RRL.

In my investigation, I find that the response by Deputy Panasiewicz is appropriate. Documentation indicates on 5/6/2020, 90-Day PRC Review: Continue DC status/RRL Placement/Quarantine. On 5/20/2021, RRL & H code staffing was completed and information was forwarded for institutional review, and then forwarded for the Secretary's final approval. On 7/14/2021, staffing packet returned and inmate was approved for RRL continuation by the Secretary of Corrections. You were informed of this by Deputy Panasiewicz and Counselor Smith.

Additional information will not be addressed as it was not included in the initial grievance.

I must therefore, uphold the decision of the grievance officer and deny your appeal and all requested relief.

Signature:

Name

K. Sorber

Title:

Facility Manager

Date:

CC: DC-15
File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-B

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

GP6788 Grievance #: 936438

TUCKER, HASSAN

Page 1 of 1

**INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW
GRIEVANCE**

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
GP6788	Hassan Tucker	Sci Phoenix	8-19-21	936438

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 8-19-21 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.
Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

FM Sorber did not conduct a thorough or adequate Review of this grievance. Secretary Wetzel neglected my RRT Review for 2020 and violated my due process rights by depriving me of adequate RRT Review for 2021 by transferring me extemporaneously to Sci Phoenix (as part of a mass transfer of PR RRT) while failing to hold the at the threshold of my annual RRT review and failing to hold Sci Phoenix accountable for my RRT status Review. DC135 A, dated 12-10-20 evinces that no results were issued for my 2020 RRT review, beyond 8 months after it was initiated, which lucidly contradicts FM Sorber's attempt to rely on a May 6, 2020 RRT Hearing rationale that occurred in a separate Sci (Rockview) and propound nothing about Secretary Wetzel's purported results for my 2020 Review. Furthermore, my RRT Review is established to be initiated in April, annually. However, my counselor, Unit Manager, Captain, and Facility Manager are all on record acknowledging, said Review was conducted over 6 weeks late [?] on May 20, 2021. My Counselor at Sci Phoenix (Smith CC2) informed me on DC135 A, dated 7-21-21 that Sec Wetzel continued RRT on 6-16-21 while Sorber purports Staffing was received to continue my RRT status on 7-14-21. The Facility Manager's Response Appeal in this matter is Mendacious. Sci Phoenix's delay in initiating my RRT Review prevented John Wetzel from answering my 2021 Review and instead of gathering all relevant info and making a reasonable decision, Sec Wetzel desultorily and extemporaneously continued RRT status for Hassan Tucker # GP6788 despite over a year misconduct-free prior to 2021 review.


INMATE SIGNATURE: Mz Hassan Tucker

Sp6788

Inmate Grievance appeal
For Final Review

While Wetzel continues to extend my RRL status arbitrarily, I am trapped in an ongoing cycle of unrelenting mental, emotional, psychological, physically damaging conditions, in which I am deprived of any way to appeal the decision because no provisions to appeal RRL exist. Absent due process, Secretary Wetzel will remain able to leave my inside of this container for as many years as he decides, while unchallenged. The Secretary of PA DOC John E Wetzel has never responded to any of my writings where I've attempted to inform him of my circumstances or request relief. I am not included in the annual RRL review. I have no due process in this situation. I am forced to wait for years at a time for reviews in which my behavior is truly irrelevant. I seek immediate release from solitary confinement, and \$50,000 for irreparable damage

Hanson Tucker

Form DC-135A		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.	
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer) CC 2 Smith		2. Date: 7-21-21	
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) GP6785 Dassan Tucker  Inmate Signature		4. Counselor's Name: Smith	
6. Work Assignment: None		5. Unit Manager's Name: Grenevich	
		7. Housing Assignment: L 1009	
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.			
What date did Secretary Wetzel extend/continue my RRL status?			
9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)			
6-16-21			
Approved for RRL continuation			
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>	

STAFF MEMBER NAME

CC2
Print

Signature

DATE

7-22-21